Structural geology of a classic thrust belt earthquake: the 1999 Chi-Chi earthquake Taiwan (M_wZ 7.6)

Li-Fan Yue[a,*], John Suppe[a], Jih-Hao Hung[b]

[a]Department of Geosciences, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA
[b]Institute of Geophysics, National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan, ROC

Abstract

We document the structural context of the 1999 Chi-Chi earthquake (M_wZ 7.6) in western Taiwan, which is one of the best-instrumented thrust-belt earthquakes. The main surface break and large slip (3–10 m) is on two segments of the shallow otherwise aseismic bedding-parallel Chelungpu–Sanyi thrust system, which shows nearly classic ramp-at geometry with shallow detachments (1–6 km) in the Pliocene Chinshui Shale and Mio-Pliocene Kueichulin/Tungkeng Formations. However, rupture is complex, involving at least six faults, including a previously unknown deeper thrust (8–10 km) on which the rupture began. We compare the coseismic displacements with a new 3D map of the Chelungpu–Sanyi system. The displacements are spatially and temporally heterogeneous and well correlated with discrete geometric segments of the 3D shape of the fault system. Geodetic displacement vectors are statistically parallel to the nearest adjacent fault segment and are parallel to large-scale oblique fault corrugations. The displacement magnitudes are heterogeneous at several scales, which requires in the long term other non-Chi-Chi events or signicant aseismic deformation. The Chelungpu thrust has a total displacement of w 14 km but the area of largest Chi-Chi slip (w 10 m) is on a newly propagated North Chelungpu Chinshui detachment (w 0.3 km total slip) which shows abnormally smooth rupture dynamics.

q 2005 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

Keywords: Active tectonics; Thrust faulting; Coseismic displacement; Fault geometry; Chi-Chi earthquake; Taiwan

1. Introduction

It has been said that large earthquakes are the quanta of upper crustal structural geology, making them focal points in studies of active tectonics (King et al., 1988; Stein et al., 1988; Yeats et al., 1996). Many structures grow largely by the summation of a few thousand large earthquakes, with 1–10 m growth per earthquake (Stein and King, 1984). Unfortunately, the subsurface structural geology of large earthquakes is normally only rather weakly constrained, making it difcult to form robust relationships between the displacements in large earthquakes—as constrained by geodesy, seismology and geomorphology—and the subsurface fault and fold geometry. The fault geometries of well-studied large earthquakes such as Izmit, Kobe, Loma Prieta, Landers, and Hector Mine are constrained largely by surface breaks, geodesy and earthquake seismology. Slip models of such earthquakes are typically based on simplied rectangular planar fault models, oriented parallel to main-shock focal mechanisms and to the general trends of surface breaks (e.g. Izmit: Bürgmann et al., 2002; Kobe: Ide and Takeo, 1997; Loma Prieta: Hartzell et al., 1991; Landers: Freymueller et al., 1994; Hector Mine: Kaverina et al., 2002; Chi-Chi: e.g. Ma et al., 2001). In contrast, the 1999 Chi-Chi thrust-belt earthquake in Taiwan is unusual, because we can independently determine the 3D geometry of the most important faults in considerable detail using techniques and data outlined below. Furthermore the Chi-Chi earthquake is one of the best instrumented large thrust-belt earthquakes (Ma et al., 1999) and thus presents an unusual opportunity to observe coseismic structural growth, comparing coseismic displacements with an independently determined 3D fault model. Finally, signicant constraints exist for placing the Chi-Chi earthquake in its larger structural and tectonic setting within the western Taiwan thrust belt.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: C 1 609 258 1515; fax: C 1 609 258 1274.
E-mail address: lyue@princeton.edu (L.-F. Yue).